

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_103988
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study of ethanol and aqueous extracts of <i>Embelia ribes</i> for anthelmintic properties
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>a. The present research study was conducted for the comparative evaluation of ethanol and aqueous extract of <i>Embelia ribes</i> for its <i>in vitro</i> anthelmintic properties.</p> <p>b. Aqueous and ethanol extracts were evaluated for in vitro anthelmintic properties using earthworms.</p> <p>2. the title of the article is suitable</p> <p>3. the abstract of this article comprehensive</p> <p>4. subsection and tructure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5. yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. the references sufficient and recent.</p> <p>The suggestion of this article are:</p> <p>1. please add the discussion</p> <p>2. which group of compound can inhibit or reduce the development of this worm?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ermi Abriyani
Department, University & Country	Universitas Buana Perjuangan of Karawang, Indonesia