

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_103988
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparative study of ethanol and aqueous extracts of Embelia ribes for anthelmintic properties</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article.</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>In abstract it is suggested to explain quantitatively.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Average</p> <p>Not did thorough literature review up to date. References are not sufficient and as to refer recent articles</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Requires improvement in english	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Literature review is not updated in introduction part and also requires to explain by taking a recent articles.</li> <li>Give the comparison with drug and mention if any disadvantages</li> <li>In section 2.3 properly put the symbols.</li> <li>The dosage of the ethanol and extract optimum concentration has to give clearly.</li> <li>Is there any differences between nuts, flower, leaf and stem extract, in which case it shows maximum activity</li> <li>Correct English through out the article.</li> </ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chamaraja N A
Department, University & Country	JSS Academy of Technical Education, India