

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_105069
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacterial dermohypodermatitis in Ziguinchor (Senegal) Epidemiology and associated risk factors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrdes.com/index.php/AJRDES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, it is since the disease is of importance to the African community</p> <p>2. Yes, it is suitable</p> <p>3. Yes, however results must be in accordance with an statistical methodology</p> <p>4. Yes, the structure is suitable</p> <p>5. Yes, is scientifically correct,</p> <p>6. Yes, they are</p> <p>-If the author supposed any relation between factors and disease appearance, chi square table with results should be in the manuscript.</p> <p>-Abstract must be a single paragraph, although there must be a part of introduction, methodology, results and conclusion, they need to be synthetized.</p> <p>- Discussion must be related with risk factors</p> <p>- Ensure that all references are mentioned in the paper</p> <p>- references format isn't right, please address to the journal author's guide</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Manuscript must be reviewed by proofreading professionals	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Teresa Gladys Cerón Carrillo
Department, University & Country	Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, México