

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEBA_104598</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>An Analysis of Income Sources and Food Security Status in Haor Regions of Kishoregonj District</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Undoubtedly, the issue of food security in rural areas has always been of great importance, and especially in the post-Cold War period, its importance has also increased. It is crucial that such articles must be highlighted with statistical evidence at the international level.</li> <li>2. Yes, there is no problem in the title of the article, but it is better if the dear author brings the geographical area of his research in the form of a case study at the end of the title.</li> <li>3. The abstract of the article should focus more on the goal setting, theoretical framework, and methodology and explain its analytical findings preferably based on statistical evidence.</li> <li>4. The article must be completely edited in different sections.</li> <li>5. The article should be revised in sections such as problem statement with special emphasis on the issue of food security in the studied village and in the country of Bangladesh, and its dimensions and structures should be described in detail. In addition, the article lacks a theoretical framework to support the research targeting process. The main question of the research has not been formulated and the basis of research assumptions is not clear.</li> <li>6. Surely, the author can use newer and more important references to strengthen the bibliographic part of his research, considering the strengthening of the theoretical foundations and thematic records of the research.</li> <li>7. The method of validating the research data should be determined and the generalizability of the results for other villages in the study areas should be reviewed. In addition, additional explanations should be provided about the validation of questionnaire questions or interviews along with their reliability assessment.</li> <li>8. The article does not discuss the results and findings of the research, especially with other similar researches.</li> <li>9. In addition, no practical suggestions have been made regarding the improvement of food security policies according to household income sources, which must be carefully reviewed.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The writing language is not very strong and must be revised. Especially in sections such as abstract, key words, introduction, discussion and conclusion and other descriptive sections of the article.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>I don't see any particular ethical case in this article, but with caution, I think that there is no particular problem related to the implementation of the research in this statistical population.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohammad Taleghani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Islamic Azad University, Iran</b>