

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_104391
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Digital Technologies in Agriculture Extension
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The subject is important for scientific community because he can let us know about the impact of Agricultural extension impact of digital technologies. Unfortunately, the way the manuscript is presented is completely unacceptable, because we do not know what kind of analysis the author has done. We do not know how he has collected the data. The presentation of the results does not allow us to understand the author. The two links can help author to ameliorate the manuscript: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/363415801_Digital_Agricultural_Extension_for_Development file:///C:/Users/CLINIC/Downloads/land-12-00195.pdf</p> <p>2. Alternative title is : Agricultural extension impact of digital technologies</p> <p>3. The abstract of this article is comprehensive but we have a lot of mistake. I mentioned it in the manuscript.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the part Results and discussion is not appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is not scientific correct.</p> <p>6. References start to year 2010 to 2021. No references for the years 2022 and 2023. In the manuscript, the references are poorly written.</p> <p>The subject matter is topical, but the author has written it in a very light-hearted way. The guidelines has not been followed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Any errors in the text must be reread and corrected by the author.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The author must adhere to the guideline for rewriting this manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dely Carlos Temfack Deloko
Department, University & Country	University of Dschang, Cameroon