

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_104082 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Long-term influence of crop residue management and tillage practices on distribution of C and N within aggregate size fractions in Alluvial Soils |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript has an interesting subject, of great importance for the scientific community. The title is complex and related to the subject. Why is it used the first capital letter for "Alluvial Soils"? If accepted in this form by the journal, the abstract is ok. Otherwise, a sentence/phrase of each category, in a single paragraph, is going to be enough. Correct structure, with sections and subsections well defined. Most likely, the manuscript is scientifically correct. Recent and sufficient references, but not correctly cited in the text, or written in "References". Please use a single format for authors and titles. Some examples: "Biswas et al." – in References the year is 2020, in the text is mentioned 2016. "Bremner, 1965" isn't cited at all. Please check the entire sections and make the necessary corrections. | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>The English language needs another check. Pay attention to spaces, there are a lot of missing spaces between words, especially between numbers and their measurement units. Use comma between authors and the publication year.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>Check again the entire manuscript and correct it. Use a single for "Fig." or "Figure" and respect the same format for mentioning it in the text. Same for tables. Add some of your own results in Conclusions, in figures.</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Berca Mihai |
| Department, University & Country | University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania |