

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CA_105112</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Incidental Discovery of a Mobile Thrombus Attached to the Right Atrial Wire of an Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator (ICD) - Case Report.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The case is important either for the frequency of cloth occurrence in right cavity devices or in blood malignancy association. In this case the hypecoagulability due to Cardiomyopathy and malignancy are the probable cause (more the latter than the previous)</p> <p>To better specify the case I would suggest the following title: <i>Incidental detection of a Right Atrial Wire attached Mobile Thrombus of an Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator (ICD) in a case of MALT Linfoma</i></p> <p>As said before hypercoagulability due to the malignancy should be evidentiated</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>I would suggest the following article How well do European patients understand cancer-associated thrombosis? A patient survey Anna Falanga <sup>a</sup>, Charis Girvalaki <sup>b</sup>, Manuel Monreal <sup>c</sup>, Jacob C Easaw <sup>d</sup>, Annie Young <sup>e</sup> <i>Cancer Treatment and Research Communications</i> <b>Volume 31, 2022, 100557</b> <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctarc.2022.100557">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctarc.2022.100557</a></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Interesting case where thrombosis due probably to an hypercoagulability state in Pt with Cardimyopathy and MALT linfoma has been developed on the catheter of the ICD. The case should push cardiologists to put attention to all Pts with tumors undergoing an echocardiogram for the evaluation of left ventricular function to give a look also to the right chambers and morphology. The efficacy of Warfarin is evident; NOA are probably more suitable for prevention of new possible events.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Giuseppe Gullace</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>General Hospital, Italy</b>