

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARJASS_103520 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Globalization, Technological Advancement and the Elderly People: A Systematic Review of Literature |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Yes, it is an important addition as the manuscript focuses on a niche area of study.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Sections may need to be redefined.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The authors may write objectives in place of research questions. Mentioning research question will lead to the query of research objectives which is actually given in form of research questions already. Methodology has been well designed. If possible authors may get some reference for manuscript format or the inclusion and exclusion criteria used. They may refer: Kumar, P., (2023), Improving IMRaD for writing research articles in social, and health sciences, International Research Journal of Economics and Management Studies, 2(1), 50-53. Doi: 10.56472/25835238/IRJEMS-V2I1P107 Authors may mention findings in place of results. There is important difference between results and findings. Here findings will be more suitable as heading. The IMRAD paper will focus and help more in this regard. Further, the research proposal heading can be replaced by future scope of study/research | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | Yes | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name: | Prem Kumar |
| Department, University & Country | Garden City University, India |