

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_104285
Title of the Manuscript:	A Shrewd Ingenious Approach of Oral Drug Delivery of Insulin for the Amelioration of Diabetic Patients with better therapeutic Outcomes
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrre.com/index.php/AJRRE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Various delivery systems that may be available would have relevance in diverse conditions of the person with diabetes. This review focuses on oral administration, and has relevance.</p> <p>2. No, it is misleading. It should preferably relate to An Oral administration of Insulin.</p> <p>3. Yes.</p> <p>4. Yes, and well presented.</p> <p>5. As far as I understand the physiology – yes. I am unfamiliar with the various stabilizers referred to.</p> <p>6. I feel they are adequate</p> <p>7. The article could have made reference to other routes of insulin administration in the review such as inhaled insulin.</p> <p>8. No comment was made on the limitation of oral use under circumstances of gastrointestinal disease and their administration.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, well written	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pankaj Joshi
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Southern Africa, Southern Africa