

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_104286
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth Rate and Immune Response of Broiler chicks as affected by Commercial Diet Density, Seed Supplementation of Lagerstroemia speciosa and Nigella sativa
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalairiz.com/index.php/AJRIZ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is a traditional ones and has no innovation</p> <p>The title is too long I can suggest another one dealing with the medicinal plants and their effects on productive and some of immunological traits of broiler chickens</p> <p>The abstract is OK but it does not express the main objective of the arecticle.</p> <p>Yes it is appropriate</p> <p>It is not completely correct</p> <p>It is sufficient but it is not recent the oldest ones was in 2017</p> <p>Please you have to note that the total period of fattening in broilers is about 35 days. The experiment was designed up to 4 weeks and the observation were taken at 2 and 4 weeks of age.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Please give some of comments and some of scientific explanation for some expression that secondary metabolizes may be considered as growth promoters. Please rewrite some sentences in a scientific trend</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>For the editor please take into account the recent works which must be concomitant with the recent approaches of poultry feeding.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raafat Khidr
Department, University & Country	Desert Research Center, Egypt