

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_103574
Title of the Manuscript:	A UAS-based hyperspectral solution for vegetative remote sensing
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaligeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript looks to have less important regarding the scientific community. It is worked on applying a technology devices equipped with the unoccupied aircraft system, which is normally used by many others.</p> <p>The title is matched with the suggested work.</p> <p>The abstract mentioned what is going on this presented work, and includes the devices, instruments and software program, but with the lack of real results and reasonable conclusion.</p> <p>The structure of the article is approximately appropriate, but may required to further extended.</p> <p>Need double check with improvement of the experimental work.</p> <p>Most of the references are obtained from the websites, while few others are related to the scientific papers.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is acceptable, but some error are found and must be re-corrected	
Optional/General comments	The overall notes on this mini review article, is that required to add further information, results or any confirmation pictures to improve the feasibility of applying this technique for vegetation using sensors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ziad Shakeeb Al Sarraf
Department, University & Country	University of Mosul, Iraq