

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_103888
Title of the Manuscript:	PROPHYLACTIC AND ANTIDIABETIC EFFECT OF <i>Andrographis paniculata</i> AND ITS COMBINATION WITH <i>Allium sativum</i> ON BLOOD GLUCOSE LEVEL AND SOME BIOCHEMICAL INDICES OF WISTER RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not much, as it is not containing any novelty as both <i>Allium</i> and <i>A. paniculata</i> are proven for antidiabetic potential. 2. Title is Ok but spellings needs to be corrected (Wister should be replaced with Wistar). 3. Material and Methods are missing in abstract. 4. OK 5. OK 6. OK 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	No, the language is poor for the articles, several spellings are incorrect. The sentences are incomplete.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In-vitro or in-vivo needs to be in italics.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vinod Kumar Gauttam
Department, University & Country	Shiva Institute of Pharmacy, India