

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104926
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of plant geometry and cultivars on growth, yield attributes and yield of HDPS cotton in rainfed shallow soils
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES The results indicated that adoption of ultra-narrow row spacing of 90 x 15 cm was better and has the potential of maximizing the kapas yield (2707 kg ha⁻¹). While, NCS 2778 was significantly higher in yield (2845 kg ha⁻¹) emerged as the most suitable cultivar under high plant density method</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The design use for the experiment should be stated e.g RCBD, SPD etc</p> <p>2. The factorial combination is good to be included</p> <p>3. Plot size and net plot area should be included in the methodology please</p> <p>4. Avoid abbreviation in the write up or provide a Key</p> <p>5. On tables indicate the foot note</p> <p>6. Means were separated using (C.D) at 5% level of significant therefore on the values indicate it using the standard procedure eg 1) 34^b 2) 23^c 3) 46^a</p> <p>However, the work is very Good</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ibrahim Abdulrahman
Department, University & Country	Basic Vocational Agriculture Training School, Nigeria