

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_104674 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Morpho-molecular characterization of carrot soft rot incitant, <i>Pectobacterium carotovorum</i> subsp <i>carotovorum</i> |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Manuscript describes the details about characterization of carrot soft rot. The was written optimally; while is characterization if any done earlier for the same diseases in selected crop is done earlier or not is not clear? At the same time, statistically analysed data was not presented in the MS. The MS need minor corrections and also need data with statistical analysis.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Abstract: Write the results in statistical terms rather than writing it as statements.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Statistically analysed data need to be given in MS.</p> <p>6. References: Strictly follow the reference writing style of the journal for citing in text and enlisting in the reference list.</p> <p>Introduction: Review of information about characterization was not given in introduction and this is needed. As author say in research gap – “scarce information available on carrot post-harvest pathogens”- It is means that there is no information available for selected disease in entire world or country? Specify the research gap based on the location/time/area/crop/diseases/ pathover, etc.</p> <p>Material and methods: See comments in MS</p> <p>Results and discussion: See comments in MS</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> General statement should be avoided and given the significant findings alone in conclusion. Write the percent diseases severity or percent infection (quantification of data need to be presented in MS to make it own scientific accountability; or else it will be general statements). | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | - | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Amit A. Shahane |
| Department, University & Country | Central Agricultural University, India |