

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_103701
Title of the Manuscript:	POTENTIAL OF SOYBEAN (Glycine max) ON MUSCLE TISSUE STRUCTURE AND LACTIC ACID LEVELS OF WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	yes yes yes yes Add following references Hu X, Zhang Q, Zhang Q, Ding J, Liu Y, Qin W. An updated review of functional properties, debittering methods, and applications of soybean functional peptides. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition. 2022 Apr 4:1-6. Boldrini GG, Martin Molinero G, Perez Chaca MV, Ciminari ME, Moyano F, Córdoba ME, Pennacchio G, Fanelli M, Álvarez SM, Gómez NN. Glycine max (soy) based diet improves antioxidant defenses and prevents cell death in cadmium intoxicated lungs. Biometals. 2022 Apr;35(2):229-44.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need to improve the language	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tiziano Pacini
Department, University & Country	University of Ruse, Bulgaria