

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_104898
Title of the Manuscript:	Lung Function Capacity among Traffic Police in Dhaka City
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Overall, it is a good study and gives scientific insight to the society</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The recent references should be given though the study was conducted in the year 2018</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need to improve the English /language quality</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Title: The title of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>2. Introduction: It would be better to include the most recent references which will give more strength to the need for the study though it is been conducted in the year 2018.</p> <p>3. Methods Section: The methods section lacks important details regarding the study sample selection.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there any matching done according to the sample characteristics • How the survey question was validated <p>Please clearly the above quarries.</p> <p>5. Analysis of data: It is unclear how the data was analyzed. It is mentioned only as "Data analysis was performed with IBM software". Kindly elaborate on it.</p> <p>7. Conclusion Section: Consider discussing the implications of the study's findings.</p> <p>8. References: Include new references to the manuscript</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Premalatha Paulsamy
Department, University & Country	King Khalid University, Saudi Arabia