

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_104036
Title of the Manuscript:	GLYCAEMIC CONTROL AND EVIDENCES OF ISCHAEMIC HEART DISEASE OF KNOWN TYPE 2 DIABETICS ATTENDING THE ENDOCRINOLOGY CLINIC AT THE FEDERAL MEDICAL CENTRE, YENAGOA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, mostly important for that region in which study is carried out</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Review section needs corrections.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, More references are needed in discussion section for comparing the study with other studies.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, major quality improvement is needed in sentence making.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In abstract in results and discussion section : FSB word is mentioned wrong. Follow journal's instructions to author's to write manuscript. References are not written according to Vancouver style and are shown extra with no numbering. Improve discussion by comparing and mentioning with other studies.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> no	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neera Ohri
Department, University & Country	Dr RKGMC, India