

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_104036</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>GLYCAEMIC CONTROL AND EVIDENCES OF ISCHAEMIC HEART DISEASE OF KNOWN TYPE 2 DIABETICS ATTENDING THE ENDOCRINOLOGY CLINIC AT THE FEDERAL MEDICAL CENTRE, YENAGOA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Abstract:</b> FSB ?????????????????????????????????</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> Check for grammar mistakes in sentence formation</p> <p><b>Materials and Methods:</b> There should be some references for materials and methods formulas Check for grammar mistakes in sentences</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion:</b> In Results and Discussion References are not required just Discuss only on the Results obtained by your experiments Discussion should be brief should not be too long</p> <p><b>References:</b> References are not of uniform format in some of them page numbers are missing Upto 1-10 you have given numbering after that you have given in alphabetical order</p> <p>Rewrite References in an uniform format which is acceptable by the journal not your order</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>No</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Durgaprasad Kemisetti</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Assam Down Town University, India</b>