

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_INDJ_103030</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Trauma-Informed Legal Advocacy: Medicolegal Approaches &amp; Best Practices for Immigration Attorneys</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1 – Yes. 2 – Yes. 3 – Yes, but incomplete. 4 – Yes, but the conclusion should be more complete. 5 – Yes. 6 – Yes.</p> <p>Well-structured work, having importance in the performance of immigration lawyers and in the medico-legal approach, evaluated in order to provide resources that defend them and their clients from the consequences of trauma.</p> <p>As for its opportunity, the preparation of lawyers necessary for the defense of clients; multidisciplinary, encompassing physicians and other health professionals as a success factor in safeguarding human rights; and the maintenance of the physical and mental health of the caregivers of the fragile, demonstrate the opportunity of the study.</p> <p>As for relevance, increasing migrations to the developed world; refugees fleeing persecution and lack of human rights; and economic migrants looking for stable life opportunities, underlie this study.</p> <p>As for methodological correctness, the documentary format of the study is adequate and extensive; the structure, description and logic of the development of the work are comprehensive and parsimonious; the summary explains the objectives of the study, not referring to the methodology, discriminates the results and presents some conclusions; keywords are sufficient and justified; the introduction is adequate and articulates with the bibliographical references, although it does not mention family doctors as partners in the provision of care; the objectives of the study are expressed; the methodology is not discriminated; the results and the simultaneous discussion are very well expressed and with accessible technical language and humanistic sense; the conclusion underlies the objectives and results, not mentioning the cooperation of the medico-legal approach with informed legal defense; bibliographical references are appropriate to the text of the article.</p> <p>The work is well systematized, without epidemiological disturbances, with very well-founded considerations.</p> <p>In terms of content, this is a very important job for professionals and stakeholders involved in the migration process.</p> <p>In a global analysis, the work "Trauma-Informed Legal Advocacy: Medico-legal Approaches &amp; Best Practices for Immigration Attorneys" is a very good work, with technical-professional proof, integrating lawyers, doctors and migrants as caregivers and those in need of self-care.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Family doctors should be referred to as partners. Cooperation between the medico-legal approach and informed legal defense should be addressed and articulated.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>It is a very good work of revision and analysis, to be considered by technicians and authorities.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hernâni Pombas Caniço</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Coimbra, Portugal</b>