

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_104272</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Organic Manures and Foliar Application of Zinc on Growth and Yield of Field pea (Pisum Sativum)</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>A bit but abbreviations should be preceded by the full wording with the abbreviation in bracket and after that the abbreviation can be used.</p> <p>Yes, but role played by each author for the research should be documented. There should be a section after the results on Discussion before the conclusion. Role played by each author should be declared plus acknowledgement and areas of new research they would recommend</p> <p>No- references 8, 9 and 10 should be included as well as hopefully in the discussion to be written, there should be additional references related to other information provided in the discussion- certainly new subsections should be provided including what each abbreviation stands for.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Not quite. The English needs to be improved and I have provided educational supportive corrections for the necessary revision and if the authors reflect on my corrections and suggestions and are happy to implement the corrections as well as include my suggestions and all my suggestions are incorporated then the article can be published.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>See above You should please share the article with my educational supporting corrections with the authors please.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>North Manchester General Hospital, United Kingdom</b>