

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_103507</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of Phosphorus and Zinc on Physio-chemical Properties of Soil on Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>No</b></p> <p><b>No</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>no</p> <p><b>MATERIALS AND METHOD</b></p> <p>Lacking information about the methods adopted to find soil physical and chemical characteristics</p> <p><b>RESULTS</b></p> <p>All figures need to be statistically done</p> <p>Without statistical analysis the results in the figure cannot be accepted for publication</p> <p><b>DISCUSSION</b></p> <p>It is missing and need to support your results from previous literature.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Need to revised with native English speaker</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>It needs major revision prior to be considered for publication. Manuscript format is not suitable for publication and need complete revision</p> <p><b><u>ABSTRACT</u></b></p> <p>Following changes need to be done in the abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Justification</li> <li>2. Aim and Objectives</li> <li>3. Method</li> <li>4. Results</li> <li>5. Conclusion</li> </ol> <p><b><u>INTRODUCTION</u></b></p> <p>Define the research area and support your research area with previous literature Knowledge gaps and why you are conducting this research (benefits) Your hypothesis and techniques adopted to prove your hypothesis</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Samina Siddiqui</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Centre of Excellence in Geology, University of Peshawar, Pakistan</b>