

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_103402
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of Marigold Resource Use Efficiency and Cost and Returns Per Ha in Raipur District, Chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, it will allow to predict or correct the development of projects of marigold production for different land producers using several administrative tools, enhancing the customization of each accordingly to their possibilities. 2. Is suitable. 3. It is, there is only one comment on metrical units. 4. Appropriate 5. Yes, it is. 6. I think, It would be desirable to include other cultured species successful examples, showing the relevance of this kind of research.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is suitable	
Optional/General comments	I strongly recommend to change the measure units, going from international system to units used in the area	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Germán Cárdenas-Manríquez
Department, University & Country	TecNM en Roque, México