

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_103294
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of CaCl₂ and Citric acid on Physio-chemical Changes and Storage at Ambient Temperature of Cherry (Prunus avium) Candy
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community, particularly for future improvement of economically important horticultural commodities mainly Cherry fruits ➤ The title of the manuscript/article is suitable and can be considered as best fit title ➤ Abstract part of the paper is more of precise and comprehensive, except background part (rationale of the study has to be justified) ➤ Yes, sub-sections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate according to my observations ➤ The manuscript is scientifically acceptable and meaningful ➤ The references for this paper are more or less sufficient but try to incorporate recently promoted or published references to make more updated 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ For scholarly communications, the quality of English language throughout the manuscript is very good and can be understandable by any person around the scientific community 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ None 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zeru Yimer Kebede
Department, University & Country	Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Ethiopia