

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_103114
Title of the Manuscript:	Resistance of sugarcane clones (<i>Saccharum</i> spp.) to red rot disease (<i>Colletotrichum falcatum</i> Went) and analysis of resistant type by fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, Yes Needs modification Yes, but needs expansion Yes Needs modification with recent ones Authors have compared only 3 resistant clones with one susceptible cultivar. Normally we release MR clones also, as there is narrow difference between R and MR. Hence, the identified 13 MR clones need to be validated along with representative susceptible clones of each category under fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy .	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Moderate, Can improve before final submission	
Optional/General comments	Validation of the technology is must before publishing	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Malathi
Department, University & Country	ICAR-Sugarcane Breeding Institute, India