

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101325
Title of the Manuscript:	The Response of foliar applied Micronutrients (Zinc, Boron and Iron) on Crop Growth Indices Chlorophyll content, Relative Water Content and Yield of Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) Varieties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No! Suggestion: The impact of foliar application of micronutrients (Zinc, Boron and Iron) on the chlorophyll, relative water content and yield of different Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) cultivars</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Good but check typo errors.</p> <p>2. Check articles (a, an, the) prepositions, and gerund in almost every sections.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. There are several articles published with similar titles and rationales. However, it is also acceptable to conduct similar research on different cultivars in different locations.</p> <p>2. References are limited in the "introduction". And also, information is stated without references in some cases.</p> <p>3. There are some typo errors. The last sentence in "Abstract" is not clear,instructed....</p> <p>4. In "Material and Methods", mention how did you measure the dry weight of chickpea.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Golam Sarowar Jahan
Department, University & Country	University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh