

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103517
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of combined application of herbicides on growth, yield attributes, yield and profitability of Kharif groundnut [<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> (L.)]
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The findings reported in this manuscript is very important for countries focusing on groundnut export as part of their agricultural sector crop. Effect of combined application of herbicides on the growth, yield attributes, yield and profitability of <i>Kharif</i> groundnut [<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> (L.)] Yes Material and methods are suggested to have subtitle for each experiment. Yes. Many old references are being cited. Suggested to add recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. However, some units are not written using English and SI unit.	
Optional/General comments	1. Specific comments are made in the manuscript copy. 2. Some part of materials and methods are missing. But the results are present in the result section. All experiments must be explained clearly in the materials and methods section.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nusaibah Syd Ali
Department, University & Country	University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia