

Original Research Article

Effect of Graded Levels of Nitrogen with Iron and Zinc Nutrition on Growth, Yield and Quality of Pearl Millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.)

Abstract

The experiment was conducted at [Agriculture Research Station \(ARS\)](#), Hagari of Northern dry zone of Karnataka for the two consecutive years 2021-2022 to ~~know study~~ the effect of graded levels of nitrogen with iron and zinc nutrition on growth, yield and quality of Pearl Millet. ~~The experiment consists of with~~ nine treatments replicated thrice in randomized complete block design (RCBD). The results ~~of the experiment~~ showed that application of 100 % RDN + Foliar spray of $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ @ 0.5 % each at 30 and 45 days after sowing has recorded higher growth parameters viz., plant height (199.4 cm), number of leaves (18.6), leaf area (3160.7 cm²), leaf area index (4.73) and total dry matter production (259.96 g plant⁻¹) at harvest stage. The yield parameters such as weight of ear head (53.13 g plant⁻¹), grain weight (46.11 g plant⁻¹), test weight (21.84 g), grain yield (1739 kg ha⁻¹), stover yield (2900 kg ha⁻¹) and harvest index (37.48 %) recorded significantly higher in treatment T₉ consists of 100 % RDN + Foliar spray of $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ @ 0.5 % each at 30 and 45 days after sowing. The quality parameters like, protein content (19.62 %) and protein yield (341.0 kg ha⁻¹) recorded significantly higher in the same treatment. ~~This study confirms that~~ Thus, application of recommended dose of fertilizer along with foliar spray of zinc and iron enhances the growth, yield and quality of pearl millet.

Keywords: Nitrogen, zinc, iron, pearl millet, growth, yield and quality

Introduction

Pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.)] is the fifth most important cereal crop and widely grown in India during *kharif* season [1]. It is cultivated by economically poor farmers and provides staple food for the poor in short period in the relatively dry tracts of semi-arid India [2]. Now a day, in the context of changing climate, this crop is mostly identified as contingent crop in the country particularly in dry areas [3]. Pearl millet grain is the staple diet and nutritious source of vitamins, minerals, proteins and carbohydrates, while its straw is a valuable livestock

feed. India is the largest producer of pearl millet in the world occupying about 7.48 million hectares with annual production of 9.2 million tonnes and an average productivity of 1231 kg ha⁻¹. In Karnataka, the pearl millet area, production and productivity are 2.31 lakh ha, 2.86 lakh tonnes and 1,241 kg ha⁻¹, respectively [4]. Pearl millet may be an alternative crop that exhibits great advantages in physiological characteristics when compared to other cereals as it is resistant to drought, low soil fertility, high salinity and high temperature tolerance [5]. The major area is confined to dry regions of Northern Karnataka and generally grown as a rainfed crop and fits well in various cropping systems. In India, millets are commonly cultivated under rainfed condition. Different parts of India grow diverse kinds of millets especially coarse millet like sorghum and pearl millet are extensively grown in northern parts of Karnataka [6].

Nitrogen is essential for plant growth and is known to be present in proteins, nucleic acids and chlorophyll [reference](#). Adequate N nutrition is required for full development of tillers and leaves and also enables the plant to operate at peak photosynthetic capacity [7]. N is the major nutrient required by pearl millet and has shown variable growth and yield response to N application [8]. About half of the world's population suffers from micronutrients malnutrition a term used to refer any condition in which the body does not receive enough nutrients for proper function, including selenium (Se), zinc (Zn), iron (Fe) and iodine (I) which is mainly associated with low dietary intake of micronutrients in diets with less diversity of food [9].

Zinc and iron deficiencies are well-documented public health issue and an important soil constraint to crop production [reference](#). Generally, there is a close geographical overlap between soil deficiencies and human deficiencies of Zn and Fe, indicating a high requirement for increasing concentrations of these nutrients in food crops [10]. Zinc and iron plays significant role in various enzymatic and physiological activities of the plant [references](#). Zinc catalyses the process of oxidation in plant cells and is vital for transformation of carbohydrates, regulates the consumption of sugar, increases source of energy for the production of chlorophyll, aids in the formation of auxins which produce more plant cells and more dry matter, that in turn will be stored in seed as a sink and promotes absorption of water [11]. Iron is an important component of enzymes and a constituent of non-heme iron proteins involved in photosynthesis, N₂ fixation, and respiration. Iron is an essential micronutrient for both plants and human beings with numerous physiological functions [12]. In plants, the deficiency of zinc and iron arises mainly

due to alkaline soil pH, calcareousness, low organic matter content in soil, exposed sub soil, use of Zn and Fe free fertilizers and flooding induced electrochemical changes of soil ~~reference. Keeping this in view Therefore, a the objective of the present research study the on~~ ~~“Effect of graded levels of nitrogen along with iron and zinc nutrition on growth, yield and quality of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.)” was carried out at ARS, Hagari of Northern dry zone of Karnataka to study the growth, yield attributes and economics of pearl millet crop.~~

Material and methods

The study was carried out at [Agriculture Research Station \(ARS\)](#), Hagari of Northern dry zone of Karnataka for the two consecutive years (2021-2022) where test crop pearl millet was grown with spacing of 45 x 15 cm. The net plot size for the experiment was 4.5 m x 3.0 m. There were nine treatments replicated thrice in randomized complete block design (RCBD). The treatment details were listed below. The recommended package of practice 100:50:25 kg NPK ha⁻¹ + 20 kg FeSO₄.7H₂O ha⁻¹ and farm yard manure (FYM) @ 6 t ha⁻¹ was followed for the pearl millet crop. The FYM and recommended dose of P and K were common to all treatments. N was applied in two split doses viz., 50% per cent as basal and remaining 50% per cent at 30 days after sowing as top dressing. Full dose of P and K were applied at the time of sowing. The nutrients were applied in the form of urea, diammonium phosphate (DAP) and muriate of potash (MOP) for N, P and K respectively. Soil application of full dose of Fe and Zn was done as basal. Foliar application of Fe and Zn was done according to treatment combinations at 30 and 45 days after sowing. Fe and Zn was applied in the form of FeSO₄.7H₂O (19.5%) and ZnSO₄.7H₂O (21%).

Treatments:

T₁: [Recommended Package practice \(RPP\)](#) (100:50:25 kg NPK ha⁻¹ + 20 kg FeSO₄ ha⁻¹)

T₂: 50 % RDN + 10 kg ZnSO₄.7H₂O ha⁻¹

T₃: 100 % RDN + 10 kg ZnSO₄.7H₂O ha⁻¹

T₄: 50 % RDN + 10 kg FeSO₄.7H₂O ha⁻¹

T₅: 100 % RDN + 10 kg FeSO₄.7H₂O ha⁻¹

T₆: 50 % RDN + 10 kg ZnSO₄.7H₂O ha⁻¹ + 10 kg FeSO₄.7H₂O ha⁻¹

T₇: 100 % RDN + 10 kg ZnSO₄.7H₂O ha⁻¹ + 10 kg FeSO₄.7H₂O ha⁻¹

T₈: 50 % RDN + Foliar spray of ZnSO₄7H₂O@at 0.5 % + FeSO₄ 7H₂O@at 0.5 % at 30 and 45 DAS

T₉: 100 % RDN + Foliar spray of ZnSO₄7H₂O@at 0.5 % + FeSO₄7H₂O@at 0.5 % at 30 and 45 DAS

The soil samples were drawn at initial, then processed and analyzed for basic soil properties pH, EC, OC, N,P,K, Fe and Zn. The initial properties of the experimental site were presented in Table 1. Growth parameters such as plant height (cm), number of leaves /plant, leaf area, leaf area index and total dry matter production (g plant⁻¹) were recorded from the 5 labelled different plants. Similarly, yield parameters, such as weight of ear head (g plant⁻¹), grain weight, (g plant⁻¹), test weight (g), grain yield (kg ha⁻¹), stover yield (kg ha⁻¹) and harvest index (%) were recorded. The quality parameters such as protein content (%) and protein yield (kg ha⁻¹), were analyzed by using standard analytical procedures. The protein content was calculated by multiplying the per cent nitrogen with a factor 6.25 [13]. The observations recorded in these studies were analysed statistically for test of significance following the Fisher's method of analysis of variance (ANOVA) as outlined by [14]. The level of significance on 'F' test was tested a five percent. The results have been discussed based on critical difference at P=0.05.

Wherever the treatment differences were found non-significant, it is denoted as 'NS'.

[Statistical analysis](#)

Table1:Initial soil properties of experimental site:

Sl. No	Parameters	Values
1	pH (1:2.5)	8.15
2	EC(dS m ⁻¹)	0.64
3	Organic carbon (%)	0.55
4	Available Nitrogen(kg/ha)	273
5	Available P ₂ O ₅ (kg/ha)	26.9
6	Available K ₂ O (kg/ha)	286
7	Available S (ppm)	8.90
8	Available Fe (ppm)	2.29
	Available Zn (ppm)	0.55

Result and Discussion

The results of the two years' investigation revealed that levels of nitrogen with iron and zinc and their combination treatments had significant influence on plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf area, leaf area index and total dry matter production, weight of ear head, grain weight per plant, test weight, grain yield, stover yield and harvest index of pearl millet crop. Results revealed that application of 100 % RDN + Foliar spray of $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ + $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ @ 0.5 % each at 30 and 45 days after sowing has recorded higher growth parameters viz., plant height (199.4 cm), number of leaves (18.6), leaf area (3160.7 cm^2), leaf area index (4.73) and total dry matter production (259.96 g plant^{-1}) at harvest stage. The increase in nitrogen levels in general, increased the number of leaves significantly probably due to increasing the production of new meristematic tissues [15]. The significant increase in plant dry matter at different stages of crop growth due to increase in nitrogen levels might be attributed to the effect of nitrogen in increasing the amount and efficiency of chlorophyll which in turn influence the photosynthetic efficiency and formation of other nitrogen compounds. Similar results were also reported by [16]

The linear increase in grain yield of pearl millet with increased nitrogen levels [17]. Actually, nitrogen is an integral part of protein and thus all enzymes [18], whereas, zinc involve in many metallic enzyme system, regulatory functions and auxin production [19]. Thus, it is hypothesized that, application of both the fertilizers (N and Zn) at optimum levels synergistically improve the grain yield. The increase in plant height, number of leaves and dry weight per plant might be due to combined application of nitrogen along with iron and zinc. Iron plays vital role in metabolic process such as respiration, photosynthesis and it also involves in synthesis of chlorophyll which is essential for maintenance of chloroplast structure and translocation of photosynthates from source to sink. Iron also helps in the proliferation of roots and thereby increasing the uptake of the nutrients from the soil supplying to the aerial parts of the plant and ultimately enhancing the vegetative growth of the plant. The study was in close conformity with [20 and 21]. The increase in total dry matter yield might be due to the additive response of cultivars to applied iron and zinc. Zinc fertilization, leading to higher total dry matter yield, has a beneficial effect on the physiological process, plant metabolism and plant growth [22]

The treatment which consists of 100 % RDN + Foliar spray of $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ + $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ @ 0.5 % each at 30 and 45 days after sowing recorded significantly higher yield parameters, weight of ear head ($53.13 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$), grain weight ($46.11 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$), test weight (21.84 g), grain yield (1739 kg ha^{-1}), stover yield (2900 kg ha^{-1}) and harvest index (37.48%). The quality parameters like, protein content (19.62%) and protein yield (341.0 kg ha^{-1}) and it was on par with treatment which received 100 % RDN + $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ + $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ @ 10 kg ha^{-1} each and was superior to other treatments. Nitrogen nutrition of plants appears to be synergistic with zinc, which may lead to increase in many physiological and molecular activities which in turn improve yield attributing characters [23 and 24]. The increase in yield and yield attributes might be due to foliar application of FeSO_4 would have resulted in enhanced grain and stover yield. Iron plays a major role in biosynthesis of indole acetic acid (IAA) and especially due to its role in initiation of primordial reproductive part and partitioning of photosynthetic products towards them which promotes the yield [25]. The increase in yield attributes might be due to role of zinc in biosynthesis of indole acetic acid [26 and 27]. The increase in the yield attributes could be due to continuous supply of micronutrients (Zn and Fe) to the crop. Zn and Fe are part of the photosynthesis, assimilation, absorption and translocation of photosynthates from source (leaves) to sink (ear head) [28]. Similar trend was noticed by [29]

Conclusion

Micronutrients especially zinc and iron are important for increasing yield and productivity of crops. The application of 100 % recommended dose of fertilizer + foliar spray of $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ + $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ @ 0.5 % each at 30 and 45 days after sowing has recorded significantly higher growth, yield and quality parameters compared to treatment T_1 which consists of recommended package of practice ($100:50:25 \text{ kg NPK ha}^{-1}$ + $20 \text{ kg FeSO}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1}$).

References

- [1] Rana, K. S., Kumar, D., & Bana, R. S. (2012). Agronomic research on pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 57(3s), 45-51.
- [2] Prabha Rani, Charu Singh, Kishore Kumar. (2023). Growth Trend of Pearl Millet and its Impact on Indian Economy: Performance Analysis. *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 3(3):162-166.

- [3] Latha, H. S., & Ravi, M. V. (2020). Studies on agronomic bio-fortification with zinc and iron on nutrient availability, uptake and yield in pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.)] genotypes. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 9(4), 721-725.
- [4] Anonymous. (2014). Agricultural statistics at a glance, Government of India, Ministry of Agriculture, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, Directorate of Economics and Statistics, 63.
- [5] Singh S, Shukla DR, Yadav B. (2019). Effect of planting geometry and phosphorous levels on pearl millet. *International journal of progressive research*,14(1):18-22.
- [6] Padulosi, S., Mal, B., Ravi, S. B., Gowda, J., Gowda, K. T. K., Shanthakumar, G., & Dutta, M. (2009). Food security and climate change: role of plant genetic resources of minor millets. *Indian Journal of Plant Genetic Resources*, 22(1), 1-16.
- [7] Reddy, V. S. N., Singh, R., & Deepika, C. L. (2022). Effect of phosphorus and zinc on growth and yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.).
- [8] Prasad, S. K., Singh, M. K., & SINGH, R. (2014). Effect of nitrogen and zinc fertilizer on pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) under agri-horti system of eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Significance*, 400, 0-05.
- [9] Schebendach, J. E., Mayer, L. E., Devlin, M. J., Attia, E., Contento, I. R., Wolf, R. L., & Walsh, B. T. (2008). Dietary energy density and diet variety as predictors of outcome in anorexia nervosa. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*, 87(4), 810-816.
- [10] Akhter, S., Rasool, R., Nabi, A., Yousuf, V., Dar, N. A., Sofi, K. A., & Nadeem, M. (2020). Agronomic biofortification in rice with Zn. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 9, 2995-3008.

- [11] Osman, K. T., & Osman, K. T. (2013). Plant nutrients and soil fertility management. *Soils: Principles, properties and management*, 129-159.
- [12] Anandhan, J., Shamugasundaram, R., Saravana Pandian, P., Swaminathan, C., Vanniarajan, C., & Govindaraj, M. (2021). Influence of soil application of iron and zinc on their content, uptake and total dry matter yield of pearl millet cultivars. *Pharma and Innovation*, 10(4), 98-104.
- [13] Tai, Y.P and Young, G.P., 1974, Variation in protein percentage in different properties of peanut cotyledons, *Crop Science.*, 14:222- 229.
- [14] Cochran, W. G. and Cox, G. M., (1965). Experimental designs. 7(3): 203.
- [15] Arshewar, S. P., Karanjikar, P. N., Dambale, A. S., & Kawde, M. B. (2018). Effect of nitrogen and zinc levels on growth, yield and economics of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*, 9(6), 729-732.
- [16] Heringer, I. and Moojen, E. L (2002). Productive potential, structure changes and quality of pearl millet under different nitrogen levels. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*; 31 (2): 875-882.
- [17] Kennedy, C., Bell, P., Caldwell, D., Habetz, B., Rabb, J., & Alison, M. A. (2002). Nitrogen application and critical shoot nitrogen concentration for optimum grain and seed protein yield of pearl millet. *Crop Science*. 42: 1966-1973.
- [18] Arif, M., Chohan, M. A., Ali, S., Guland, R., &Khan, S. (2006). Response of wheat to foliar application of nutrients. *Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*. 1(4): 30-34.
- [19] Muthukumararaja, T. M. and Sriramachandrasekharan M. V. (2012). Effect of zinc on yield, zinc nutrition and zinc use efficiency of lowland rice. *Journal of Agriculture and Technology*, 8(2): 551-561.

Formatted: Font: Italic

- [20] Rao V, Bamboriya J.K, Yadav S, Jeeterwal R. C. (2019). Response of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) To Integrated nitrogen management. *International Journal of Current Microbiol Applied Sciences*, 8(2):429-437.
- [21] Shrivastava S, Jain AK, Arya V. (2018). Response of Organic Manure, Zinc and Iron on Soil Properties, Yield and Nutrient Uptake by Pearlmillet Crop Grown in Inceptisol. *International journal of pure and applied science*, 6(1):426-435.
- [22] Jemila C, Shanmugasundaram R. A. (2018). preface screening of zinc use efficient pearl millet varieties in calcareous soil. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(5):1833-1837
- [23] Cakmak, I., Pfeiffer, W. H. and McClafferty, B. 2010. Biofortification of durum wheat with zinc and iron. *Cereal Chem.* 87: 10-20.
- [24] Prasad, S. K., Singh, M. K., & Singh, R. (2014). Effect of nitrogen and zinc fertilizer on pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) under agri-horti system of eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Significance*, 400, 0-05.
- [25] Maharana, S., & Singh, S. (2021). Effect of iron and zinc on growth and yield of pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.)]. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 10(10), 546-550.
- [26] Ganapathy, B. A., & Savalgi, V. P. (2006). Effect of micronutrients on the performance of *Azospirillum brasilense* on the nutrient uptake, growth and yield in maize crop. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 19(1), 66.
- [27] Rakesh, K., Umesh, C., & Balachandra, Y. Influence of Nitrogen and Zinc Levels on Pearl Millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.).

- [28] Esfahani, A. A., Pirdashti, H., & Niknejhad, Y. (2014). Effect of iron, zinc and silicon application on quantitative parameters of rice (*Oryza Sativa* L. CV. Taron Mahalli). *International Journal of Farming and Allied Sciences*, I(5), 529-533.
- [29] Adsul, P. B., Pawar, A., Gaikwad, G., Puri, A., & Shaikh, M. S. S. (2014). Uptake of NPK and yield of Kharif sorghum as influenced by soil and foliar application of micronutrients. *BIOINFOLET-A Quarterly Journal of Life Sciences*, 11(2c), 578-582.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 2. Influence of graded levels of nitrogen with iron and zinc nutrition on plant height and number of leaves of pearl millet crop

Treatment	Plant height(cm)			No. of leaves		
	2021	2022	Mean	2021	2022	Mean
T ₁ : RPP (Recommended Package of Practice)	165.6	167.2	166.4	10.0	11.4	10.7
T ₂ : 50 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	175.9	177.6	176.8	15.2	16.3	15.8
T ₃ : 100 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	178.6	180.4	179.5	15.8	17.5	16.7
T ₄ : 50 % RDN + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	170.5	173.3	171.9	12.3	13.7	13.0
T ₅ : 100 % RDN + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	183.5	184.7	184.1	13.5	15.0	14.3
T ₆ : 50 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹ each	184.3	186.0	185.2	15.5	16.2	15.9
T ₇ : 100 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹ each	198.2	199.9	199.1	17.3	18.5	17.9
T ₈ : 50 % RDN + Foliar spray of ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 0.5 % each	185.1	187.2	186.2	16.3	17.8	17.1
T ₉ : 100 % RDN + Foliar spray of ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 0.5 % each	198.5	200.3	199.4	17.5	19.7	18.6
S.Em. ±	4.45	4.07	4.26	0.36	0.46	0.41
C.D. @ 5%	13.00	12.20	12.60	1.06	1.38	1.22

Table 3. Influence of graded levels of nitrogen with iron and zinc nutrition on leaf area, Leaf area index and total dry matter Production of pearl millet crop

Treatment	Leaf area (cm ²)			Leaf area index			Total dry matter production (g plant ⁻¹)		
	2021	2022	Mean	2021	2022	Mean	2021	2022	Mean
T ₁ : RPP(Recommended Package of Practice)	1931.7	1947.6	1939.7	2.86	2.89	2.88	197.89	199.43	198.66
T ₂ : 50 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	2389.8	2395.6	2392.7	3.54	3.68	3.61	217.23	223.41	220.32
T ₃ : 100 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	2471.4	2489.4	2480.4	3.66	3.73	3.70	223.47	229.40	226.44
T ₄ : 50 % RDN + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	2245.8	2257.8	2251.8	3.33	3.53	3.43	211.08	218.10	214.59
T ₅ : 100 % RDN + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	2721.5	2745.3	2733.4	4.03	4.15	4.09	224.57	229.63	227.10
T ₆ : 50 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹ each	2675.2	2693.2	2684.2	3.96	3.99	3.98	235.13	238.19	236.66
T ₇ : 100 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹ each	3123.9	3148.9	3136.4	4.63	4.78	4.71	252.24	260.18	256.21
T ₈ : 50 % RDN + Foliar spray of ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 0.5 % each	2789.5	2793.4	2791.5	4.13	4.21	4.17	236.89	240.29	238.59
T ₉ : 100 % RDN + Foliar spray of ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 0.5 % each	3152.1	3169.3	3160.7	4.67	4.79	4.73	254.44	265.47	259.96
S.Em. ±	65.11	85.37	75.24	0.10	0.13	0.12	5.61	7.21	6.41
C.D. @ 5%	190.04	255.95	223.00	0.28	0.40	0.34	16.37	21.62	19.00

Table 4. Influence of graded levels of nitrogen with iron and zinc nutrition on yield attributes of pearl millet crop

Treatments	Yield attributes								
	Weight of ear head (g plant ⁻¹)			Grain weight (g plant ⁻¹)			Test weight (g)		
	2021	2022	Mean	2021	2022	Mean	2021	2022	Mean
T ₁ : RPP (Recommended Package of Practice)	35.84	36.23	36.04	28.71	29.93	29.32	13.74	13.89	13.82
T ₂ : 50 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	41.56	42.79	42.18	33.37	34.05	33.71	15.32	15.59	15.46
T ₃ : 100 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	43.25	44.39	43.82	34.13	35.63	34.88	14.67	14.75	14.71
T ₄ : 50 % RDN + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	38.94	39.93	39.44	32.14	33.69	32.92	15.02	15.23	15.13
T ₅ : 100 % RDN + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	43.78	45.10	44.44	34.46	35.87	35.17	15.89	15.93	15.91
T ₆ : 50 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹ each	47.46	46.83	47.15	40.84	41.93	41.39	18.56	19.26	18.91
T ₇ : 100 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹ each	52.11	53.41	52.76	44.98	46.10	45.54	21.12	21.81	21.47
T ₈ : 50 % RDN + Foliar spray of ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 0.5 % each	48.01	49.21	48.61	41.58	42.43	42.01	19.85	19.93	19.89
T ₉ : 100 % RDN + Foliar spray of ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 0.5 % each	52.57	53.68	53.13	45.22	47.00	46.11	21.74	21.93	21.84
S.Em. ±	1.12	1.42	1.27	0.95	1.33	1.14	0.44	0.63	0.54
C.D. @ 5%	3.28	4.27	3.78	2.78	4.00	3.39	1.28	1.90	1.59

Table 5. Influence of graded levels of nitrogen with iron and zinc nutrition on grain yield, stover yield and harvest index of pearl millet crop

Treatments	Grain yield (Kg ha ⁻¹)			Stover yield (Kg ha ⁻¹)			Harvest index (%)		
	2021	2022	Mean	2021	2022	Mean	2021	2022	Mean
T ₁ : RPP (Recommended Package of Practice)	1455	1468	1462	2698	2715	2707	35.03	35.09	35.06
T ₂ : 50 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	1521	1530	1526	2747	2763	2755	35.64	35.64	35.64
T ₃ : 100 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	1578	1590	1584	2773	2781	2777	36.27	36.38	36.32
T ₄ : 50 % RDN + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	1475	1493	1484	2699	2603	2651	35.34	36.45	35.89
T ₅ : 100 % RDN + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹	1505	1516	1511	2657	2674	2666	36.16	36.18	36.17
T ₆ : 50 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹ each	1610	1613	1612	2812	2814	2813	36.41	36.44	36.42
T ₇ : 100 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 10 kg ha ⁻¹ each	1698	1705	1702	2869	2913	2891	37.18	36.92	37.05
T ₈ : 50 % RDN + Foliar spray of ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 0.5 % each	1619	1628	1624	2866	2889	2878	36.10	36.04	36.07
T ₉ : 100 % RDN + Foliar spray of ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @ 0.5 % each	1732	1745	1739	2874	2925	2900	37.60	37.37	37.48
S.Em. ±	37.00	38.57	37.78	20.40	36.83	28.61	0.45	0.42	0.43
C.D. @ 5%	112.12	115.72	113.90	61.21	110.13	85.60	1.35	1.30	1.32

Table 6. Protein content and protein yield in pearl millet grains as influenced by graded levels of nitrogen with iron and zinc

Treatment	Protein content (%)			Protein yield (kg ha ⁻¹)		
	2021-22	2022-23	Mean	2021-22	2022-23	Mean
T ₁ : RPP (Recommended Package of Practice)	10.56	10.66	10.61	153.65	156.49	155.07
T ₂ : 50 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @at 10 kg ha ⁻¹	14.06	14.29	14.18	213.85	218.64	216.24
T ₃ : 100 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @at 10 kg ha ⁻¹	17.38	17.43	17.41	274.26	277.14	275.70
T ₄ : 50 % RDN + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @at 10 kg ha ⁻¹	13.25	13.55	13.40	195.44	202.30	198.87
T ₅ : 100 % RDN + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @at 10 kg ha ⁻¹	15.75	15.91	15.83	237.04	241.20	239.12
T ₆ : 50 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @at 10 kg ha ⁻¹ each	14.81	14.98	14.90	238.44	241.63	240.03
T ₇ : 100 % RDN + ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @at 10 kg ha ⁻¹ each	19.31	19.43	19.37	327.88	331.28	329.58
T ₈ : 50 % RDN + Foliar spray of ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @at 0.5 % each	15.38	15.65	15.52	249.00	254.78	251.89
T ₉ : 100 % RDN + Foliar spray of ZnSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O + FeSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O @at 0.5 % each	19.50	19.73	19.62	337.74	344.29	341.01
S.Em. ±	0.39	0.65	0.52	6.71	8.81	7.76
C.D. @at 5%	1.12	1.95	1.54	19.58	26.41	23.00