

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102828
Title of the Manuscript:	Distribution of carbon stocks under different land use systems of Somawarpet taluk, Kodagu District
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, but the author must express the importance of calculating carbon stocks in Somawarpet taluk. Is there an issue of natural forest destruction or inappropriate land use?</p> <p>The title has not been supported by the distribution of carbon stocks in the research area.</p> <p>The author can add policies the government can implement to maintain the stability of existing carbon stocks.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, it's just that the author needs to fix some things.</p> <p>There are some references for author https://doi.org/10.1038%2Fsrep21691; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2023.109426; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indic.2021.100166;</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The writing is good. It would be better if the grammar were improved.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author has rewritten some references.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diah R.D. Hastuti
Department, University & Country	Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia