

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_103038
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis about impact of Lockdown on Affected Cases due to Coronavirus Disease (Covid-19) in Pakistan by the Year 2020
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. COVID-19 turned the lives of people topsy-turvy around the globe, disrupted economies and hardened the health of people in an untold way. So, the theme of the research article is apt for scientific community.</p> <p>2. 'Impact of Lockdown on COVID-19 affected people-Analysis', or 'Lockdown impact on COVID-19 patients-A study'. Mostly collocation ANALYSIS OF is used rather 'ANALYSIS ABOUT'. So, analysis is enough.</p> <p>3. Just 91 words were used in abstract with three key words. Usually an abstract is expected to have 150 words and seven (7) key words.</p> <p>4. Objective of the study, null and alternative hypothesis along with a research question should have been given separate headings. Review of literature could have added colour to the research.</p> <p>5. The research is based on the news published in the 'Daily Jang' a leading newspaper in Pakistan. Hence, it is a phenomenological study. Interviews should have been conducted .</p> <p>6. 14 reviews were documented. Please follow standardized citation style.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>"We agree with Noreen where we observed same findings in our results which have shown reduced affected cases ...", instead write as "It is agreed...."</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>LOCKDOWN is a single word, you have divided the word as lock down</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. Samuel Babu
Department, University & Country	St. Joseph's Pg College, India