

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCOS_102816</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Securely Compressed Extensive Text Messages: The Interactive Mobile Learning for Distance Education in the COVID – 19 Pandemic</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes. The proposed method for secure and efficient SMS combined with learning management system can be used for many higher education institutes of emerging countries. This article provided the results of effectiveness of the proposed method using analysis of questionnaire based survey.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>5. <b>I think so.</b></p> <p>6. <b>Listed references seem to be OK. However some of reference are missing the bibliographic information to access the documents/articles. For example, Belawati, David, R., Goldwasser, S., Kukulska-Hulme, A., Nanda, A. K., Sharma, D. and Ziden, A. A.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Yes. However please check the followings; 1) P.27, Line 1-2. "abd" within "... by the authors abd the data set ... "</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Please check the following points;</p> <p>1. In 6. Results and Discussion, LM scores are shown within sentences. It is much easy to track if authors provides the scores as a table parallel to Table 1.</p> <p>2. Discussion on the differences between LM for science and non-science students for each questionnaire items.</p> <p>3. Related to the above mentioned 2., it is recommended to make a discussion whether standard deviations StDev shown in Tables 2, 3 and 4 are different between science and non-science students for "Post".</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Tsuyoshi Usagawa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kumamoto University, Japan</b>