

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_99886
Title of the Manuscript:	Validity, Practicality, and Effectiveness of Character Learning Model Based on AI-Islam Kemuhammadiyaan Values
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The article is important for the scientific community dedicated to educational studies. The title is appropriate. It refers to the topic addressed. The summary presents all the aspects required to account for the study carried out. Just one observation: correct that in the last part they repeat three times the expression "character learning model based on the values of AI-Islam Kemuhammadiyaan"</p> <p>The language used in the introduction in some paragraphs is not appropriate; situations are exposed with language that is not consistent with that of a scientific study. In the overview of the need for the AIK learning model, Is not clear. In addition, reference is made to a previous study, or possible pilot test; mentioning results.</p> <p>This prior to exposing the actual findings of the study. It is not clear what the general objective of the study really was and what the specific objectives were. The presentation of results and their subsequent analysis should have been based on these. The presentation of the article is not based on a logical sequential scheme. It is necessary to organize the information, respecting the formal structure of a scientific article. It is an interesting topic and they report having found important results. But, the positive impact is lost by presenting it this way. They should have presented the findings as they are in the summary</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes, it is.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Otaiza Cupare</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Centro de Estudios Regionales, Universidad Católica Andrés Bello, Venezuela</b>