

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_99886
Title of the Manuscript:	Validity, Practicality, and Effectiveness of Character Learning Model Based on Al-Islam Kemuhammadiyaan Values
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. 1. The al-islam Kemuhammadiyaan (AIK) values-based character learning model is very important because it prepares the child for the future, therefore it is important for the scientific community and to contribute to the teacher's pedagogy.</p> <p>2. 2. It is appropriate to show the topic interesting to the reader</p> <p>3. 3. The abstract complies with the main elements that must be written according to the scientific writing guidelines</p> <p>4. 4. The subsections or specific topics if they are related to the research topic</p> <p>5. It depends on how the correction is observed, in my opinion I think that the research method is not precisely specified, nor is the type of research, in these cases the researcher has to specify the scientific methodology well</p> <p>6. 6. Sufficiency is based on the depth of the topic, which I think should increase with studies published in indexed journals, timing is important, but it depends on the standards established by the journal editorial</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luis Florencio Mucha Hospinal
Department, University & Country	Universidad Peruana Los Andes, Peru