

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_103190
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Trainer Pedagogical Competences on Students' Academic Performance in Diploma SET Courses: A case of National Polytechnics in Western Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	✓ important ✓ Already ✓ Needs to be supplemented according to the notes ✓ not suitable see note ✓ Correct ✓ it's enough ✓ 1. Writers need to pay attention to journal templates in writing 2. Writing an article is not like writing a thesis or dissertation or thesis, for that you need to pay attention 3. For conclusions need to be revised 4. The author needs to pay attention to the systematics of writing articles as in the notes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Appropriate for the scientific community	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kapraja Sangadji
Department, University & Country	Ambon State Islamic Institute, Indonesia