

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_103190
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Trainer Pedagogical Competences on Students' Academic Performance in Diploma SET Courses: A case of National Polytechnics in Western Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the paper is relevant. However, the effect of trainer pedagogical competences on academic performance was the focus and this requires regression analysis.</p> <p>Change the word effect in to correlates of trainer pedagogical competences on students' academic performance.... If regression analysis will not be done.</p> <p>Yes, is very good and the discussion is very fluid.</p> <p>Yes, but needs to add statistics.</p> <p>Yes, but mostly are old. Beef up literature review. Consider studies over the last five years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but it is highly recommended to have the paper undergo proofreading and stylistics and editing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Adhere to APA V7 in terms of statistical tables, in-text citations and referencing.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dennis G. Caballes
Department, University & Country	Graduate Program School of Teacher Education, The National Teachers College, Philippines