

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_104471
Title of the Manuscript:	SEVERE IMPACTION OF THE PRIMARY MAXILLARY SECOND MOLAR ALONG WITH HORIZONTAL IMPACTION OF THE PERMANENT FIRST PREMOLAR -A RARE CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrrd.com/index.php/IJRRD/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>This manuscript provides an interesting case study of a 12-year-old male with two impacted teeth, one of which is a deciduous tooth, which is not so common. This case could serve as a useful example of how stomatological prevention at a young age is very important, even in children with deciduous teeth, to ensure healthy development and eruption of permanent teeth. The article is worth publishing, but it needs to be reviewed first. All my recommendations are listed below in Reviewer comments.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES, but in the concluding part, please emphasize how important this particular study is, e.g., that stomatological screening is essential for children with deciduous teeth.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES, but some recommendations are listed below.</p> <p>Four of the 13 references are from the last 10 years, I suggest adding more recent studies, e.g. Praisya Francis et al; South Asian Res J Oral Dent Sci; Vol-3, Iss-3 (May-Jun, 2021): 79-83, Dr. Layla Louise de Amorim Rocha. "Atraumatic Surgical Removal of Impacted Deciduous and Premolar: Case Report" MAR Dental Sciences 1.1 (2020)</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Recommendations:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Please review and revise the entire manuscript for formality – missing spaces at the end of the sentences or especially after the comma, misplaced periods at the end of sentences, etc.</li> <li>- I suggest to use uniformly the 1st premolar or in the spelling "first", the same for the second molar, then it might be useful to use an abbreviation, e.g. in the abstract and then in the introduction first the full spelling and then either m2, P1 or the abbreviation according to the two-digit tooth numbering system of the FDI – 65 (m2) and 24 (P1) as used in the further discussion. But please mention in the main text that FDI was used.</li> <li>- In the introduction – is it a prevalence in India? This should be clarified. A table with prevalence of impacted deciduous teeth and permanent teeth could be more comprehensive. Also, I suggest adding more information about the particular factors that affect dental implantation, especially the ankylosis that was observed in this particular case study.</li> <li>- So, does this mean that the 12-year-old male has never been examined by a dentist or pediatrician who would have noticed the missing deciduous second molar? I think this should be clearly stated in the case study and also in the discussion to point out that prevention at a young age is important when the primary teeth erupt.</li> <li>- The authors should refer to Figures 3-11 in the main text.</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	<p>- Please revise Figure 1 to use a more appropriate tool to point out impacted teeth, e.g., tools in Power Point or Paint might be helpful. Also, please revise the title of Fig. 1 – OPG as it is not informative enough, e.g., OPG of a 12-year-old male with impacted teeth (65,24 – refer to the color used, for example)</p> <p>- I suggest to mention in the discussion that there was no such case in the family and that ankylosis of a deciduous tooth is the main reason for impaction of 65, logically followed by impaction of 24. This should also be highlighted in the discussion and conclusion.</p> <p>In the discussion, in the first paragraph, in the last sentence, please use the term either primary tooth or deciduous tooth.</p>	
--	---	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Petra Švábová</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Comenius University in Bratislava, Slovakia</b>