

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104911
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different Phosphorus Sources on Soil Physico-Chemical Property Dynamics under Chickpea Crop
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Moderate Moderate Moderate, Need more recent references Need Modifications Table 1 & 2 Soil analysis Methodology add recent reference Check the Table3. PH value range (usually Chickpea Crop growth PH level 7.3 to 8) Check the Table 4 potassium range in the given result between 350-400 kg ha ⁻¹ . Add Proper citation or source from the Table 2 to 6, Conclusion parts should be rewrite (Use from present study Brief Results & Discussion) Must Add study area Location map, Soil map & Ground truth pictures), Research article to gives proper results, explanations, Justification & Recommendations.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Muthusamy
Department, University & Country	V.O. Chidambaram College, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, India