

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104252
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW ON BIO-BASED CONTROL OF POST-HARVEST DISEASES
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, <i>Bacillus</i> Spp. has been used for managing different pests and diseases. These bioagents are reported to be effective for managing post-harvest loss of fruits and vegetables. If well formulated, these bioagents are expected to be one of the best eco-friendly management agents for post-harvest losses.</p> <p>2. A REVIEW ON BIO-BASED CONTROL OF POST-HARVEST DISEASES IN FRUITS AND VEGETABLES</p> <p>3. The abstract is okay</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes, it is suggested to respond and include the answer for the comments given in the revised manuscript file</p> <p>6. References are sufficient. It is suggested that, if possible include the most recent references</p> <p>7. What is the method of application?, and recommended dose range for field application for each crop can be given as a table for both pre and post harvest.</p> <p>8. Is this compatible with other microbial agents/non-synthetic chemicals which have been used in the field and post-harvest to manage insect pest infestations?</p> <p>9. Any commercial formulations are available in the market?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G. Rajadurai
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India