

## Original Research Article

### “Genetic Variability and Correlation Studies on Different Hybrid of Bitter Gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) in Prayagraj Agroclimatic Condition”

#### Abstract

An experiment was conducted on Genetic Variability, Heritability and Correlation Studies on 20 hybrids of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) with three replications during Zaid Season of 2022. at the Research Field of Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj. They were evaluated for 16 quantitative characters viz., days taken to germination, vine length at final harvest, emergence of 1<sup>st</sup> male flower, emergence of 1<sup>st</sup> female flower, node appearance of male flower, node appearance of female flower, days to first picking, days to last picking, average fruit length, average fruit weight, average fruit diameter, number of fruits per plant, fruit yield per plant, average fruit yield, ascorbic acid and total soluble solids. Significant differences among bitter gourd genotypes indicated the presence of wide variation for all the hybrids and AVT-I 2020 BIGHYB-3 found superior ~~it exhibited with substantially~~ higher fruit yield per plant and performed better for other desirable traits. This genotype was found superior based on the overall performance of different bitter gourd cultivars for growth and yield in Prayagraj conditions.

**Keywords:** Genetic variability, correlation studies, Bitter Gourd, Growth and fruit yield

#### Introduction

Bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.; 2n=2x=22) is one of the important commercial cucurbitaceous vegetable cultivated in India. It is also known as bitter melon, bitter gourd, bitter cucumber, bitter squash, balsam pear, karela, cassilla and maiden apple. The English name is Bitter Gourd, Hindi name is Karela, Sanskrit name is Karvellak, karvelli, Katphala, and Gujrati name is Karelo, Kadhwa. Recent biogeographic analyses suggest that *M. charantia* originated in Africa and probably was domesticated in eastern India and southern China. Bitter gourd is an important plant of the Cucurbitaceae family with high nutritional content. It is also used by people in the treatment of many diseases. It is also known as bitter gourd, African cucumber, balsam apple, balsam pear, papilla, and karela. Bitter melon is a tropical plant that likes moist and warm areas. Its homeland is

**Comment [ar1]:** Where is this discussed in your study?

**Comment [ar2]:** Your abstract is not reflecting your entire study, or conclusion part is better than your abstract

**Comment [ar3]:** Better to write, bitter gourd is also known as... It is also mean, what do that it represent?

**Comment [ar4]:** Is this bitter melon?

**Comment [ar5]:** U didn't mention this name before

India. It is grown in open areas in temperate regions of Turkey and greenhouse environments in cold regions. This plant is used both for treatment and food in many regions where it grows and is grown. The bitter melon plant is grown in Turkey by sowing from its seed in May and the ripe fruits are harvested in August. .

Comment [ar6]: Check this

Success in any plant breeding programme solely depends upon the existence of genetic variability present in the population. It is proved that larger the variability, greater is the scope for selection and improvement. It is the genotypic variability and more specifically the additive variances, which is most important for a plant breeder as, it determines the genetic gain through selection. Yield is a complex entity which is associated with a number of component characters. Before aiming at an improvement in yield, it is necessary to have information on genetic variability and heritability, in respect of important characters associated with yield. Genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation are useful in detecting the amount of variability present in the available genotypes.

The main purpose of estimating heritability and the genetic parameters that compose the heritability estimate is to compare the expected gains from selection based on alternative selection strategies (Holland *et al.*, 2003). The efficiency of selection depends on the direction and magnitude of association between yield and its component characters. The correlation coefficients indicate association between two characters and form a basis for selecting desirable plant type and path coefficient analysis splits the correlation coefficients into direct and indirect effects to measure the relative importance of each character. Information on character association and direct and indirect effects of component traits on yield would greatly help in formulating the selection criteria and using them effectively in crop improvement programme (Sharma and Bhutani 2001, Bhave 2003, Singh *et al.*, 2008, Islam 2009).

### Material and Methods

The experiment was conducted during the Zaid Season of 2022 at the Research Field Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj using randomized block design with three replications. During the period of experimental trail, the maximum temperature of the location reaches up to 45 °C – 50 °C and seldom falls as low as 02 °C – 05 °C. The relative humidity ranges between 19 to 90 percent. The average rainfalls in this area are around 1013.4 mm annually.

Comment [ar7]: Its not trail, its trial

**Table 1. Details of Hybrids**

Sl.No.	HybridSymbol	Nameof Genotype/Hybrid	Source
1	H <sub>1</sub>	AVT-12020 BIGHYB-1	IIVR,Varanasi
2	H <sub>2</sub>	AVT-12020 BIGHYB-2	IIVR,Varanasi
3	H <sub>3</sub>	AVT-12020 BIGHYB-3	IIVR,Varanasi
4	H <sub>4</sub>	AVT-12020 BIGHYB-4	IIVR,Varanasi
5	H <sub>5</sub>	AVT-12020 BIGHYB-5	IIVR,Varanasi
6	H <sub>6</sub>	AVT-12020 BIGHYB-6	IIVR,Varanasi
7	H <sub>7</sub>	AVT-12020 BIGHYB-7	IIVR,Varanasi
8	H <sub>8</sub>	AVT-12020 BIGHYB-8	IIVR,Varanasi
9	H <sub>9</sub>	AVT-12020 BIGHYB-9	IIVR,Varanasi
10	H <sub>10</sub>	AVT-22019 BIGHYB-1	IIVR,Varanasi
11	H <sub>11</sub>	AVT-22019 BIGHYB-2	IIVR,Varanasi
12	H <sub>12</sub>	AVT-22019 BIGHYB-3	IIVR,Varanasi
13	H <sub>13</sub>	AVT-22019 BIGHYB-4	IIVR,Varanasi
14	H <sub>14</sub>	AVT-22019 BIGHYB-5	IIVR,Varanasi
15	H <sub>15</sub>	AVT-22019 BIGHYB-6	IIVR,Varanasi
16	H <sub>16</sub>	AVT-22019 BIGHYB-7	IIVR,Varanasi
17	H <sub>17</sub>	AVT-22019 BIGHYB-8	IIVR,Varanasi
18	H <sub>18</sub>	AVT-22019 BIGHYB-9	IIVR,Varanasi
19	H <sub>19</sub>	SAGAR	VNRSeed
20	H <sub>20</sub>	KSP 120	KalashSeed

**Comment [ar8]:** Where is this naming of genotype obtained? It appears absurd

**Comment [ar9]:** These are taken from AVT trials and renamed?, please consult, IIVR Varanasi

The data were subjected to analysis of variance according to Panse and Sukhatme (1967). The genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation were computed according to Burton and Devane (1953). The broad sense heritability was computed according to Falconer and Mackay (1996). Genetic advance over mean was worked out according to Johnson et al. (1955). Path coefficient analysis provides an effective means of partitioning correlation coefficients into unidirectional and alternative pathways thus permitting a critical examination of specific factors that produce a given correlation, which can be successfully employed in formulating an effective selection programme (Salahuddin *et al.* 2010).

## Result and Discussion

The salient results of the study and conclusion drawn from the experiment are summarized below:

Analysis of variance showed significant differences among the hybrids for the sixteen characters studied, Analysis of variance showed significant difference among the hybrids for the different characters at 1% significance.

Out of 20 genotypes of bitter melon, 3 genotypes namely; AVTI-2020BIGHYB-3 (2.02 kg/plant), AVTI-2020BIGHYB-1 (1.95 kg/plant), AVTI-2020BIGHYB-9 (1.29 kg/plant) possessed maximum fruit yield per plant. Therefore, these genotypes may be promoted for cultivation as well as in future breeding programme to develop superior varieties for eastern plain zones of Uttar Pradesh.

A wider range of variability in bitter melon (*Momordica charantia* L.) germplasm was observed for different characters viz., days taken to germination (7.00–12.00), Days to 1<sup>st</sup> Male Flower (26.80–30.53 days), Days to 1<sup>st</sup> Female Flower (31.53 – 35.43 days), Nodes number at which first male flower appears (4.13–8.60 nodes), Nodes number at which first female flower appears (6.40–15.87 nodes), Days to first fruit picking (40.00–48.33 days), Days to last fruit picking (82.33– 85.00 days) Fruit Weight (24.60 – 74.94 gm), Number of Fruits per Plant (20.18 – 28.91 fruits), Fruit yield per plant (0.55–2.02 kg), Fruit Length (7.83–14.13 cm), Fruit diameter (21.28–40.51 mm), Yield per Hectare (61.18 – 234.44 q/ha), Vine Length at final Harvest (3.02 – 3.98 m), TSS (6.00–9.00), Ascorbic acid (79.70 – 84.40 mg/100 gm).

**Comment [ar10]:** ? If it performs good at ur single location in one single its not sufficient

**Comment [ar11]:** It can be promoted for further testing

**Comment [ar12]:** italics

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, English (United States), Superscript

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, English (United States), Superscript

**Table 2. Mean Performance of Different Hybrids of Bitter gourd in Prayagraj Agro-climatic condition.**

Sl. No.	Name of Genotype	Vine Length(m)	Emergence of 1 <sup>st</sup> Male Flower	Emergence of 1 <sup>st</sup> Female Flower	Days to 1st Picking	Av. Fruit Length (cm)	Av. Fruit Weight (g)	Av. Fruit Diameter (mm)	No. of Fruit per Plant	Average Fruit Yield (t/ha)	Ascorbic Acid(mg/100g)	TSS(°Brix)
1	AVT-II/2019/BIGHYB-1	3.217	28.733	31.533	40	9.533	40.787	40.507	24.08	108.993	84.4	7
2	AVT-II/2019/BIGHYB-2	3.803	30.067	32.6	40.667	8.467	26.42	30.647	22.957	67.29	81.1	6.667
3	AVT-II/2019/BIGHYB-3	3.433	29.333	31.867	42	7.833	24.6	30.987	25.247	68.437	80.5	6.333
4	AVT-II/2019/BIGHYB-4	3.977	28.533	31.867	42.667	13.867	56.087	30.327	20.183	125.883	82.033	6
5	AVT-II/2019/BIGHYB-5	3.407	28	32.733	47.333	11.4	52.727	33.153	22.443	130.623	83.533	6.667
6	AVT-II/2019/BIGHYB-6	3.04	27.8	33.333	41.333	13.4	43.06	29.333	22.873	109.44	81.4	6.333
7	AVT-II/2019/BIGHYB-7	3.42	27.267	31.6	43.333	12	49.34	30.74	22.89	120.883	82.8	6.333
8	AVT-II/2019/BIGHYB-8	3.23	26.8	33.8	48.333	9.267	25.193	24.46	21.85	61.177	82.2	6.667
9	AVT-II/2019/BIGHYB-9	3.407	27.2	31.733	43	10.533	56.853	21.28	21.517	136.07	82.6	6.333
10	AVT-I/2020/BIGHYB-1	3.303	29.333	33.667	41.667	12.533	66.68	34.707	28.913	226.883	81.073	6.667
11	AVT-I/2020/BIGHYB-2	3.02	28.467	33.467	42.333	11	29.053	25.173	25.353	82.327	81.703	7
12	AVT-I/2020/BIGHYB-3	3.27	27.933	31.533	40	14.133	74.937	39.167	26.873	234.44	82.057	6.333
13	AVT-I/2020/BIGHYB-4	3.457	27.867	34.133	41	11.667	51.42	30.907	25.207	152.4	81	6
14	AVT-I/2020/BIGHYB-5	3.593	30.533	35.433	48	8	46.407	30.02	24.16	130.477	82.7	7.333
15	AVT-I/2020/BIGHYB-6	2.667	27.067	32.067	42.667	9.533	40.637	33.533	22.06	102.44	83.433	7
16	AVT-I/2020/BIGHYB-7	3.41	28	33.267	42.667	7.9	30.247	30.713	22.517	79.033	79.7	6
17	AVT-I/2020/BIGHYB-8	3.707	27.867	33.733	43	8.067	46.503	31.767	23.957	128.513	80.233	6.333
18	AVT-I/2020/BIGHYB-9	3.133	29.533	33.8	41	11.2	52.427	33.8	24.413	146.15	80	9
19	SAGAR	3.767	37.1	37.033	54.967	11.167	42.507	25.067	26.9	124.9	84.4	6.3
20	KSP 120	4.103	37.367	37.767	58.067	9.527	46.953	29.333	24.967	126.7	84.9	7.033
	Mean	3.42	29.24	33.35	44.2	10.55	45.14	30.78	23.97	111.8	82.09	6.67
	CV	6.02	5.31	5.64	5.7	5.87	7.36	5.91	7.96	10.01	2.05	5.19
	SEm	0.12	0.9	1.08	1.46	0.36	1.92	1.05	1.1	6.46	0.97	0.2
	CD at 5%	0.34	2.57	3.11	4.17	1.02	5.49	3	3.15	18.5	2.78	0.57

**Comment [ar13]:** Please be uniform, better have one or two digits after decimal

The results of the present study also revealed that there was a comparative higher degree of genotypic correlation coefficients than their phenotypic counterparts in most of the characters studied. This indicated that there was a higher degree of association between two characters of genotypic association, while their phenotypic association was lessened due to the influence of environment. Positive and significant correlation was observed for Average Fruit length, Average Fruit weight, Average fruit diameter, number of fruit per plant and fruit yield per hectare with Fruit yield per plant at both phenotypic and genotypic level. Therefore, these characters emerged as most important attributing associates of seed yield per plant in Bitter gourd. Thus, selection practised for the improvement in one character will automatically result in the improvement of the other character even if direct selection for improvement has not been made for the yield character.

Significant positive association of these above attributes indicated that these attributes were mainly influencing the fruit yield in bitter gourd. Thus, selection practiced for the improvement in one character will automatically result in the improvement of the other character even if direct selection for improvement has not been made for the yield character. The significant correlation at both the levels between above attributing characters can be used for simultaneous improvement in both the characters with selection for one character only while selection for correlated character may not be done. However, significant correlation only at genotypic level reflects the masking effects of the environment.

### Conclusion

From the present investigation it is concluded that among 20 hybrids of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) AVT-I 2020 BIGHYB-3 exhibited substantially higher fruit yield per plant and performed better for other desirable traits. The analysis of variance for all characters of bitter gourd hybrids revealed presence of good extent of significant differences among the hybrids for all traits. For genotypic correlation fruit yield per plant shows positive significant correlation with fruit yield per hectare, no. of fruit per plant, average fruit diameter, average fruit weight, average fruit length. For phenotypic correlation fruit yield per plant shows positive significant correlation with

**Comment [ar14]:** ?? Is this because of your study they have emerged out? Only discuss about your study

**Comment [ar15]:** There is no information on the heritability of traits? Why one has to go for indirect selection, why not direct selection can only be explained through the nature of gene action

**Comment [ar16]:** Please add more information like heritability, GAM and gene action and then discuss on the advantage of indirect selection through significant associations

**Comment [ar17]:** This sentence is repeated

**Comment [ar18]:** What is this both levels meant for?

fruityieldper hectare,no. offruit per plant,fruit diameter,fruit weightandfruit length.Henceforth, the data for all characters that showed sufficient amount of significant differences were subjected to further statistical analysis.

UNDER PEER REVIEW





## Reference

- Sharma NK and RD Bhutani (2001) Correlation and path analysis studies in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.* 30(2): 84-86.
- Bhave SG, JL Mehta, VW Bendale, PP Mhatre and UB Pethe (2003) Character association and path co-efficient analysis of bitter gourd *Momordica charantia* L. *Orissa J. Hort.* 31(1): 44-46.
- Holland JB, WE Nyquist and CT Cervantes-Martinez (2003) Estimating and interpreting heritability for plant breeding; A update. *plant breed. Rev.* 22: 109-112.
- Islam MR, MS Hossain, MSR Bhuiyan, GN Hasan and Syed A (2009) Genetic variability and path-coefficient analysis of bitter gourd *Momodicacharantia*L. *Int. J. Sustainable Agric.* 1(3):53-57.
- Singh SP, S Kumar, SP Singh (2008) Genetic variability, correlation studies and path analysis in bitter gourd *Momordica charantia*L. *New Agric.* **19(1/2)**: 105-111.
- Burton GW and EM Dewane (1953) Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca circuelinaccae*) from replicated clonal material. *Agron. J.* 45: 478-481.
- Falconer DS and TFC Mackay (1996) *Introduction to quantitative genetics*. 4th ed. Longmans Green, Harlow, Essex, UK.
- Johnson HW, HF Robinson and RE Comstock (1955) Estimation of Genetic variability and environmental variability in soybean. *J. Agron.* 47: 314-318.
- Salahuddin S, M Abro, M Kandhro, L Salahuddin and S Laghari (2010) Correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield components of upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*(L.)) sympodial. *World Appl. Sci. J.* 8: 71-75.
- Panase VG and PV Sukhatame (1967) *Statistical Methods of Agriculture Workers*, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.