

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104777
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Variability Of Gladiolus (Gladiolus grandiflorus) Cultivar Under Prayagraj Agro-Climatic Conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalapri.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES</p> <p>ok</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>You can consider the following reference (if needed)</p> <p>Roy AK, Malaviya DR, Kaushal P, Mahanta SK, Tewari R, Chauhan R, Chandra A. Intraspecific genetic variation among Sehima nervosum genotypes in relation to agro-climatic diversity. Crop and Pasture Science. 2022 Aug 15;74(3):244-58.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality of the article is not suitable for scholarly communications .</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Abstract should be 250 words Add more reference to introduction section Write materials and method in order manner Add more recent reference in discussion section Check reference once again</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Yuvaraj
Department, University & Country	Agricultural College and Research Institute, India