

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104767
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Nano phosphorus on growth and yield of different varieties of groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) and yield validation using SPSS model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Chang the title to " Effect of Nano phosphorus on growth and yield of groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) " 2. Omit all spaces in the research article. 3. Write the aim of research article in the end of introduction, 4. Write Results and Discussion not " Result and Discussion 5. Omit " CM " from the head line " Plant height (cm) " and all the other units from all headlines. 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It's OK.	
Optional/General comments	Nothing wrong	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jamal Abdul RahmanTawfeeq
Department, University & Country	College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Baghdad, Iraq