

## ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	<b>InternationalJournalof EnvironmentandClimateChange</b>
ManuscriptNumber:	<b>Ms_IJECC_104659</b>
Titleof theManuscript:	<b>Effectoforganicandinorganicfertilizersongrowth,yieldandqualityofbroccoli(Brassicaoleraceavar.italica).</b>
Typeof the Article	<b>OriginalResearchArticle</b>

### **Generalguidelinefor PeerReviewprocess:**

Thisjournal'speerreviewpolicystatesthat**NO**manuscriptshouldberejectedonlyonthebasisof'**lackofNovelty**',providedthemanuscriptis scientificallyrobustandtechnicallysound.ToknowthecompleteguidelineforPeerReviewprocess,reviewersarerequestedtovisitthis link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

[ReviewForm 1.7](#)

**PART1:ReviewComments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This is a study that likely complements and can contribute to the knowledge of crop management practices for improving broccoli productivity.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The abstract should provide a concise summary of the study and significance of the findings or implications.</li> <li>The methodology was not clearly defined about the experimental setup, such as the design, including the specific treatments, plot sizes, and how the data were collected and analysed.</li> <li>The rationale behind the choice of treatments and their concentrations not clearly define.</li> <li>Insufficient supporting data to make a conclusion i.e. information on the environmental conditions (temperature, humidity, etc.) during the experiment.</li> </ul> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) This manuscript will benefit the study of broccoli productivity.</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) Acceptable</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> Acceptable</p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> Acceptable</p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> Acceptable</p> <p><b>You can consider the following reference (if suitable)</b></p> <p><b>Ouda BA, Mahadeen AY. Effect of fertilizers on growth, yield, yield components, quality and certain nutrient contents in broccoli (Brassica oleracea). International Journal of Agriculture and biology. 2008 Jan;10(6):627-32.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the articles suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of English need to be improved. Follow the author's guideline.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In conclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>standardising: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the use of English US or UK</li> <li>Reference style to comply with journal requirement</li> <li>Proofread the manuscript carefully to correct any grammatical or typographical errors.</li> <li>Ensure consistency in the use of terminology throughout the manuscript.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	

[ReviewForm 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Julyus Melvin Mobilik</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maritime Transport Training Institute, Malaysia</b>