

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_104479</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Response of Biofertilizer and Foliar Spray of Boron on Growth and Yield of Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>This manuscript is important for the scientific community particularly those who study plant nutrition.</b></li> <li>2. <b>The title is suitable</b></li> <li>3. <b>The abstract is comprehensive</b></li> <li>4. <b>The structure is appropriate</b></li> <li>5. <b>Revision should be made to make this manuscript scientifically correct:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Material and method should be detailed</b> to allow the experiment to be reproduced, 2. <b>Data presentation should be correct, see plant height in Tab. 1. For treatment 1,</b></li> <li>3. <b>The role of boron in the growth of bacteria should be provided in discussion.</b></li> </ol> </li> <li>6. <b>More reference is required particularly on the effect of boron on bacteria. For example <a href="https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1046/j.1365-3040.2003.00995.x">https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1046/j.1365-3040.2003.00995.x</a></b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	A Grammar checker is required to improve the English	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>I Gede Ketut Adiputra</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Hindu Indonesia, Indonesia</b>