

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_104412</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of Soil Microbial Status Under Different Land Use System at Various Depth at Eastern Uttar Pradesh</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b>            Good work, Various sampling points and different depths, have huge number of samples. But author should explain the importance and findings clearly.            It was a very basic research with old methods (culture dependent methods) its ok, but author should tell the story interestingly with significant findings.            Author should include the physico-chemical data.</p> <p>Author should recheck the results, CFU values mostly similar between depths 0 to 60cm (There is no significant vertical fluctuation between depths) this is strange.</p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>These type of research (Basic research) is necessary and tis baseline data for future research.</b></li> <li>2. <b>Its ok but change to specific location instead of East UP.</b></li> <li>3. <b>Include the significance and importance findings.</b></li> <li>4. Edit the MS according to the instructions.</li> <li>5. Need more scientific findings.</li> <li>6. Need more reference in entire MS.</li> </ol> <p>I Think Author should have lots of data sets, so rewrite the MS with scientific interpretation and present informatively.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>R S Janarthini</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>