

ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	InternationalJournalof EnvironmentandClimateChange
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJECC_102098
TitleoftheManuscript:	AssessmentofAvailableMajorandMicronutrientStatusofsoilsunderthebarrenlandin centralplainofUttarPradesh
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearchArticle

Generalguidelinefor PeerReviewprocess:

Thisjournal'speerreviewpolicystatesthat**NO**manuscriptshouldberejectedonlyonthebasisof'**lackofNovelty**',providedthemanuscriptis scientificallyrobustandtechnically sound.ToknowthecompleteguidelineforPeerReviewprocess,reviewersarerequestedtovisitthis link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer'scomment	Author'scomment(ifagreedwithreviewer,correct themanuscriptandhighlightthatpartinthe manuscript.Itis mandatorythatauthorsshouldwrite his/herfeedbackhere)
<p>Compulsory REVISIONcomments</p> <p>1. Isthemanuscript important forscientific community? (Please writefewsentenceson thismanuscript)</p> <p>2. Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggest analternativetitle)</p> <p>3. Isthe abstract ofthearticlecomprehensive?</p> <p>4. Aresubsectionsandstructureof themanuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you thinkthemanuscriptisscientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Arethereferences sufficientandrecent?If you have suggestionofadditionalreferences,please mentioninthe review form.</p> <p>(Apart fromabove mentioned6 points, reviewersare freetoprovide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>I considerthatit isconvenienttopointouttheintentionandtheeffortthatthe authorsmakeinwantingtomakethemanuscriptandbringanexperiencetoa researchproduct,thatis certainlyvaluableandrecognized.Ithinkitwouldbean interestingmodeltoimproveandclearlyestablishoriginality. Yourworkisinterested,wellwritten,andorganized.However,therearesome commentsshouldbeconsideredbeforepublishing,inthisway,thescientificquality ofthemanuscriptwouldbeimproved. I suggesttheauthorsupdatethebibliography,manyofthecitationsaremorethan 15yearsold,thereforeI suggestaddingrecentreferenceswhichaddresstheissuein question.Suggestedcitationsareforgenuinescientificreasonsthatemphasizethe currenttopicofstudyincontext. Authorsshouldconsiderthecorrectionsuggestedbythereviewers.Inthiswaythe postulatedmanuscriptwouldbereasonablypresented,fluentreading.</p>	
<p>MinorREVISIONcomments</p> <p>1. Islanguage/Englishquality of the articlesuitableforscholarly communications?</p>	<p>Englishmustberevised</p>	
<p>Optional/Generalcomments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[ReviewForm 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Barlin Orlando Olivares
Department, University & Country	University of Cordoba, Spain