

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_105035
Title of the Manuscript:	A Giant Epidermoid cyst of the Spleen and Mini Review of Literature
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community because giant epidermoid cyst is a very rare entity. The patient is 18 years old. The epidermoid cyst generally occurs in third decade. The author has properly classified the splenic cyst and duly investigated this patient. Splenectomy was the correct decision in view of giant cyst and occupying hilum Yes, the title of the article is suitable Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct Need to insert recent citations	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	it is suitable for publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kanwar Singh Goel
Department, University & Country	SGT Medical College, SGT University, India