

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_104803
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS INFLUENCING THE ELECTRONIC TEXTBOOKS ADOPTION IN TANZANIAN HIGH SCHOOLS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes it is.</p> <p>2. Yes it is</p> <p>3. Yes to some extent.</p> <p>4. Yes to some extent.</p> <p>5. Yes it is.</p> <p>6. Yes they are.</p> <p>7. The following are to be considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - - Editing is required. - The abstract should appear in one block paragraph and the research gap and significance should be highlighted. - Only the last name of the author(s) should appear within the in-text citation. - Paragraphs should be indented. - Research objectives should be re-phrased being preceded by at or to. - Section two should be 'Literature Review'. - No previous studies to show the gap in the literature. - The Methodology section should also contain the research design, data collection and selection, model or approach, instrument(s) and procedures. - In table 2. The rows should be titled. - After Table 1, Table 2 ...etc, there should be a colon (:). - Be consistent: All table captions should be aligned to the left. - Why section 6 is written 6.0? - The references in the reference list are to be rewritten following the APA documentation system 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nawal Fadhil Abbas
Department, University & Country	University of Baghdad, Iraq