

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_104452
Title of the Manuscript:	Culture connotation of mountains, rivers, forests, farmlands, lakes, grasslands and deserts
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	The write-up is interesting but has not followed scientific style of writing. The title is appropriate for the paper. There is no methodology to direct the readership how the data was collated. It is good. It is short of methodology hence not scientific. Not bad. Data collection procedure should be described for the reader to know how the research was conducted.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Appropriate	
Optional/General comments	The issue of methodology is critical in scientific research and therefore, the author should address how data was collated for this paper. If this is resolved it can be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martin Gyekye-Ampofo
Department, University & Country	Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana