

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_104005
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk and Protective factors associated with Gestational Hypertension in Ghana: The case of the Bolgatanga Regional Hospital of the Upper East Region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is important as Hypertensive disorders are major cause of maternal and foetal mortality and morbidity in developing countries</p> <p>I think it should be hypertensive disorders as preeclampsia cases were also included</p> <p>Fine</p> <p>No. Introduction is long with lines that are repetitive (marked in blue) There is no discussion added in manuscript</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The references are not properly mentioned. The sentence remains incomplete. The authors name should be mentioned</p> <p>2. How did they select sample size</p> <p>3. The inclusion criteria are absolutely not clear. HDP develop after 20 weeks. What does the author want to say that preterm delivery is from 28-37 weeks?</p> <p>4. Gestational weight is a dynamic variable. When was it taken? It is not clear in manuscript</p> <p>5. HDL value of 1.96 mg/dl seems to low. The normal range in women is 35-80 mg/dl</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Parneet Kaur
Department, University & Country	GMC, India