

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104769
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Organic and Inorganic fertilizer on Growth and Yield of Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? It is very important to the scientific world as well as farming communities because use of organic and inorganic nutrients improves yields.</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable? The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? The abstract of the article is comprehensive but there is need to incorporate what has been suggested.</p> <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? The manuscript is scientifically correct because of its nature and references cited and listed. If only the under recommendations are made the manuscript will be of high value</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What was the nutrient content of Flyash after analysis prior to the trial? 2. What was the nutrient content of Azospirillum after analysis prior to the trial? 3. What was the status of the soil before the experiment? This information is paramount. 4. Table 2 is not convincing due to the missing of statistics. 5. Fill in the statistics or else it will be difficult to defend the significant differences reported in the write-up. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The work on organic and inorganic application is important on yield improvement	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Felix Mereka Chipojola
Department, University & Country	Malawi