

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_103834
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of nutrient management through bio-organic manures on bio-chemical attributes of Aonla (<i>Emblica officinalis</i> Gaertn.) cv. Na-10
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? It is very important to the scientific world as well as farming communities because application of manure is paramount with escalating fertilizer prices.</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable? The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? The abstract of the article is comprehensive but should consider adhering to the suggestions made and must include the suggestions made in the materials and method.</p> <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? The manuscript is scientifically correct because of its nature and references cited and listed, but the views of the author are not well coming out. The views seem to be copied. This must be avoided. Table 1 need to have revisited to look scientific in nature.</p> <p>The materials and method need to include the following information for the site:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Soil type and its properties 2. Weather conditions 3. Age of the Aonla trees 4. Organoleptic test results 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This is a important manuscript but the author(s) need to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Bring in more of their views than copying other scientists' views ▪ Improve on the tables to look scientific 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Felix Mereka Chipojola
Department, University & Country	Malawi